UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

COLLABORATION FOR RESEARCH INTEGRITY AND TRANSPARENCY,

Plaintiff,

v.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH, and FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION Civil Action No. 3:18-cv-1181 ECF Case

COMPLAINT

Defendants.

July 18, 2018

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff, Collaboration for Research Integrity and Transparency ("CRIT"), by its undersigned attorneys, alleges:

1. This action under the Administrative Procedure Act challenges an agency rule that purports to relieve medical researchers of their express statutory obligation to report basic results information for certain clinical trials involving human subjects. It further seeks to compel the defendant agencies to post public notices that are mandated by law but that they have unlawfully withheld or unreasonably delayed.

2. Clinical trial data regarding medications, medical devices, and medical treatments provide a crucial resource for clinicians, patients, researchers, policymakers, and the general public. Comprehensive reporting of this data serves to promote the integrity of clinical research, improves the quality of decisions made by clinicians and policymakers, reduces bias in scientific literature, and informs patients, clinicians, and regulators about intervention safety and effectiveness.

Case 3:18-cv-01181 Document 1 Filed 07/18/18 Page 2 of 28

3. In recognition of the importance of public access to clinical trial data, Congress in 2007 required researchers conducting certain trials for approved drugs or devices to report to defendants the results of their trials. If the drugs or devices being studied were not approved at the time of a trial's completion, but were subsequently approved, Congress required the basic results information to be reported no more than 30 days after approval.

4. Congress further required defendants to make the information reported to them available to the public by means of a registry and results data bank accessible through the internet. To comply with that statutory obligation, defendants created and maintain the public website ClinicalTrials.gov.

5. Congress simultaneously required defendants to make specific, statutorily prescribed public notices on ClinicalTrials.gov whenever a researcher (1) fails to submit required clinical trial information, (2) submits false or misleading information, and/or (3) fails to submit primary or secondary outcome data, the main categories of data on which a trial focuses. Congress also required defendants to disclose the penalties they impose for any violations of the reporting requirements and to disclose whether the responsible party corrected the violation. To ensure public access to these mandatory compliance notices, Congress required defendants to provide a mechanism by which the public could easily search Clinicaltrials.gov for non-compliance notices.

6. In September 2016, or nine years after Congress imposed these requirements, defendants promulgated a final rule that contravenes the clear statutory disclosure mandates and purports to relieve parties responsible for clinical trials completed before January 18, 2017, from disclosing the basic trial results for studies of drugs or devices that were unapproved as of the trial's primary completion date, but which were subsequently approved.

Case 3:18-cv-01181 Document 1 Filed 07/18/18 Page 3 of 28

7. There has been, and continues to be, widespread and well-documented failure by responsible parties to comply with their statutory reporting obligations over the last eleven years. Defendants have nevertheless failed to post even a single, statutorily required notice of non-compliance on ClinicalTrials.gov, and have failed to create a mechanism by which the public can search for instances of non-compliance.

8. Defendants' failure to enforce the statutory public disclosure mandate and to issue notices of non-compliance deprives CRIT researchers, as well as others, of the data necessary to ensure transparency in research, promote better decision-making by clinicians and policymakers, eliminate bias in the medical literature, and inform patients, clinicians and regulators about medical product safety and effectiveness.

9. By this action, plaintiff seeks an order (a) striking down those portions of defendants' final rule purporting to relieve responsible parties of their statutory obligation to report basic results for pre-final rule clinical trials for unapproved drugs or devices that were subsequently approved; and (b) compelling defendants to issue compliance notices for any clinical trial where the responsible party has failed to satisfy its statutory reporting obligations and to make those notices easily searchable on ClinicalTrials.gov.

PARTIES

10. Plaintiff CRIT is an inter-disciplinary initiative of the Yale Law School, Yale School of Public Health, and the Yale School of Medicine launched in 2016 to enhance the quality and transparency of the research base for medical products. CRIT is jointly led by the Yale Global Health Justice Partnership, the Media Freedom & Information Access Clinic at Yale Law School, and the Yale Open Data Access ("YODA") Project within the Center for Outcomes

Case 3:18-cv-01181 Document 1 Filed 07/18/18 Page 4 of 28

Research and Evaluation ("CORE") at Yale-New Haven Hospital and the Yale School of Medicine.

11. CRIT's researchers include Dr. Joseph S. Ross, Co-Director of CRIT, Associate Professor of Medicine, and Associate Professor of Public Health, who relies upon clinical trial information to study issues related to pharmaceutical and medical device regulation, evidence development, post-market analysis of drug and device safety, and clinical adoption of drugs and devices. Defendant Department of Health and Human Services ("HHS") is an agency established within the executive branch of the U.S. government and is an agency within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 551(1).

12. Defendant National Institutes of Health ("NIH") is an agency established within the executive branch of the U.S. government and is an agency within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 551(1).

13. Defendant Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") is an agency established within the executive branch of the U.S. government and is an agency within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 551(1).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

14. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

15. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C § 1391(e)(1) because plaintiff resides in this district and no real property is involved in the action.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

A. Importance of Public Access to Clinical Trial Information

16. Public registration and reporting of clinical trial information provides significant benefits to researchers, regulators, policymakers, and the public at large.

Case 3:18-cv-01181 Document 1 Filed 07/18/18 Page 5 of 28

17. Access to clinical trial data promotes good research practices; avoids unnecessary duplication of research; improves the credibility of research results by allowing independent scrutiny; and, enables new knowledge to be generated from meta-analyses or systematic reviews of data. Collaboration for Research Integrity and Transparency, *Promoting Transparency in Clinical Research: Why and How* 8–11 (2017), https://law.yale.edu/system/files/area/center/crit/crit_white_paper_november_2017_best_promoting_transparency_in_clinical_research_why_and_how.pdf.

18. Registration and reporting of clinical trial data must also be comprehensive to avoid biasing systematic reviews and meta-analyses, which are widely used to inform the standard of clinical care. *Id.* at 14. Such systematic reviews and meta-analyses are also important to improving medical care for subpopulations. *Id.* at 14–15.

19. Registration and reporting of clinical trial data helps clinicians, patients and those who pay for medical treatments to accurately assess the value of medicines and make informed treatment decisions. *Id.* at 16–17.

20. Defendants NIH and HHS have both acknowledged these benefits, writing: "access to more complete information about clinical trials has both scientific and other public health benefits. The scientific benefits relate to the prevention of incomplete and biased reporting of individual trials, and the provision of information about a more complete and unbiased set of trials; the resulting set of data about clinical trials can form a more robust basis for current medical decision making and future research planning. In addition, *ClinicalTrials.gov* provides an overview of the clinical trials enterprise, facilitating quality improvement in study focus, design, and reporting." Clinical Trials Registration and Results Information Submission, 81 Fed. Reg. 64,985 (September 21, 2016).

Case 3:18-cv-01181 Document 1 Filed 07/18/18 Page 6 of 28

21. Recently, Dr. Rebecca Williams, then Assistant Director of ClinicalTrials.gov, now Director, underscored that public reporting of clinical trial data "increase[s] trust in [the] clinical research enterprise." Melissa Fassbender, *NIH: 'If we don't report our results we will repeat mistakes*, 'Outsourcing-Pharma.com (May 16, 2018), https://www.outsourcing-pharma.com/Article/2018/05/16/NIH-discusses-the-public-benefits-of-access-to-clinical-trial-information.

22. Dr. Jodi Black, Deputy Director of the Office of Extramural Research at NIH, has also stressed the importance of agency action to spur the public reporting of clinical trial data, saying, "sharing results should not be optional." *Id.*

23. Clinical trial data can be invaluable for researchers looking to continue work and research of others, as well as doctors, patients, and families of patients who are seeking the most reliable treatments.

B. Congress Mandates Public Access to Clinical Trial Information

24. In 1997, Congress enacted the Food and Drug Administration Modernization Act ("FDMA"), Pub. L. No. 105-155, 111 Stat. 2296. That Act requires the Secretary of HHS, acting through the Director of NIH, to create a data bank of information on clinical trials related to drugs for serious or life-threatening diseases and to disseminate the data bank to a wide audience. FDMA § 113, 111 Stat. at 2310–12 (codified at 42 U.S.C. § 282(i)).

25. Defendant NIH subsequently created ClinicalTrials.gov to comply with defendants' obligation under the FDMA to disseminate clinical trial information, and the website was made available to the public on February 29, 2000.

26. In 2007, Congress enacted the Food and Drug Administration Amendments Act,Pub. L. No. 110–85, 121 Stat. 823 ("FDAAA"). That Act requires the Secretary of HHS, acting

Case 3:18-cv-01181 Document 1 Filed 07/18/18 Page 7 of 28

through the Director of NIH, to expand the clinical trials data bank "[t]o enhance patient enrollment and provide a mechanism to track subsequent progress of clinical trials," 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(2)(A)(i).

27. Under FDAAA, "responsible parties" for "applicable clinical trials" must register those trials with the Director of NIH, and, for many applicable clinical trials, report results information. 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(2)(A), (C); *id.* § 282(j)(3); *see* 42 C.F.R. § 11.42.

FDAAA's Registration Requirements

28. In general, FDAAA requires responsible parties to register all applicable clinical trials with the Director of NIH and submit "descriptive information," "recruitment information," "location and contact information," and "administrative data" no later than twenty-one days after the first patient is enrolled. 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(2)(A)(ii), (C).

29. FDAAA defines "responsible party" as the sponsor of a trial, meaning the person or party who initiates the trial. 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(1)(A)(ix). The sponsor can designate a qualified principal investigator to be the responsible party, *id.* § 282(j)(1)(A)(ix)(II), but for each trial, there may be only one responsible party, 42 C.F.R. § 11.4(c).

30. FDAAA defines "applicable clinical trials," as trials that (1) were initiated on or after September 27, 2007, or that were ongoing as of December 26, 2007, and (2) meet the following criteria set forth in 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(1)(A)(ii)-(iii):

- a. "a prospective clinical study of health outcomes comparing an intervention with a device subject to section 510(k), 515, or 520(m) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act against a control in human subjects (other than a small clinical trial to determine the feasibility of a device, or a clinical trial to test prototype devices where the primary outcome measure relates to feasibility and not to health outcomes)";
- b. "a pediatric postmarket surveillance as required under section 522 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act"; or

Case 3:18-cv-01181 Document 1 Filed 07/18/18 Page 8 of 28

c. "a controlled clinical investigation, other than a phase I clinical investigation, of a drug subject to section 505 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act or to section 351 of this Act."

FDAAA's Results Reporting Requirements

31. Responsible parties must also submit results data for many applicable clinical trials. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(3).

32. FDAAA mandates the submission of "[b]asic [r]esults" information for applicable clinical trials for FDA-regulated drugs and devices—*i.e.* "for each applicable clinical trial for a drug that is approved under section 355 of Title 21 or licensed under section 262 of . . . [T]itle [42] or a device that is cleared under section 360(k) of Title 21 or approved under section 360e or 360j(m) of Title 21." 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(3)(C).

33. "Basic results" information consists of (1) demographic and baseline characteristics of patient samples; (2) primary and secondary outcomes; (3) a point of contact; and (4) whether there exists an agreement restricting the principal investigator from discussing or publishing the results of a trial. 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(3)(C).

34. Congress required the Secretary of HHS to further expand the results reporting requirements within three years of FDAAA's enactment by issuing regulations (1) establishing whether responsible parties for clinical trials for *unapproved* devices and drugs must also submit basic results information, 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(3)(D)(ii)(II); and (2) requiring, in addition to basic results information, the submission of: (a) "[a] summary of the clinical trial and its results that is written in non-technical, understandable language for patients, if the Secretary determines that such types of summary can be included without being misleading or promotional"; (b) "[a] summary of the clinical trial and its results that is technical in nature, if the Secretary determines that such types of summary can be included without being misleading or promotional"; (c) "[t]he

Case 3:18-cv-01181 Document 1 Filed 07/18/18 Page 9 of 28

full protocol or such information on the protocol for the trial as may be necessary to help to evaluate the results of the trial"; and (d) "[s]uch other categories [of information] as the Secretary determines appropriate." 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(3)(D)(i)–(iii) (emphasis added).

35. In general, FDAAA requires responsible parties to submit results information to the Director of NIH not later than 1 year after the earlier of (1) the estimated completion date of the trial or (2) the actual date of completion. 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(3)(D)(iv), (E)(i). FDAAA also provides for "delayed submission" of results information for certain applicable clinical trials and for "extensions" under certain circumstances. 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(3)(E)(iii)–(vi).

FDAAA's Results Reporting Requirements for Trials for Drugs or Devices Approved After a Trial's Completion Date

36. Congress explicitly addressed responsible parties' results reporting obligations for

clinical trials for drugs or devices approved after a trial's completion date.

37. FDAAA provides:

With respect to an applicable clinical trial that is completed before the drug is initially approved under section 355 of Title 21 or initially licensed under section 262 of this title, or the device is initially cleared under section 360(k) of Title 21 or initially approved under section 360e or 360j(m) of Title 21, the responsible party shall submit to the Director of NIH for inclusion in the registry and results data bank the clinical trial information described in subparagraphs (C) and (D) not later than 30 days after the drug or device is approved under such section 355, licensed under such section 262, cleared under such section 360(k), or approved under such section 360e or 360j(m), as applicable.

42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(5)(E)(iv).

Defendants' Obligations Under FDAAA to Provide Public Notices of Noncompliance

38. FDAAA requires the clinical trials registry and results databank to be "made

publicly available through the Internet," 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(2)(A)(i), (3)(B)(ii), codifying NIH's

pre-2007 decision to make clinical trial information available via ClinicalTrials.gov.

Case 3:18-cv-01181 Document 1 Filed 07/18/18 Page 10 of 28

39. Congress required defendants to issue public notices of violations of FDAAA's

results reporting requirements in the data bank entry of any clinical trial for which the

responsible party fails to comply with FDAAA's results reporting requirements. These notices

must state that the party is not in compliance and in what way they are not in compliance, the

specific penalties imposed, and whether the responsible party has corrected the information. 42

U.S.C. § 282(j)(5)(E)(i)–(ii).

40. For failure to submit required clinical information, submission of false or

misleading information, and failure to submit primary and secondary outcomes, Congress

prescribed the specific language that is required to be posted on ClinicalTrials.gov:

(iii) Failure to submit statement

The notice under clause (i) for a violation described in clause (i)(I)(aa) shall include the following statement: "The entry for this clinical trial was not complete at the time of submission, as required by law. This may or may not have any bearing on the accuracy of the information in the entry.".

(iv) Submission of false information statement

The notice under clause (i) for a violation described in clause (i)(I)(bb) shall include the following statement: "The entry for this clinical trial was found to be false or misleading and therefore not in compliance with the law.".

(v) Non-submission of statement

The notice under clause (ii) for a violation described in clause (ii) shall include the following statement: "The entry for this clinical trial did not contain information on the primary and secondary outcomes at the time of submission, as required by law. This may or may not have any bearing on the accuracy of the information in the entry.".

42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(5)(E)(iii)–(v).

41. Congress further mandated that "[t]he Director of NIH shall provide that the

public may easily search the registry and results data bank for entries that include notices

required under this subparagraph." 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(5)(E)(vi).

Case 3:18-cv-01181 Document 1 Filed 07/18/18 Page 11 of 28

Defendants Promulgate Final Rule Implementing FDAAA's Results Reporting Requirements

42. In September 2016, defendants NIH and HHS promulgated a final rule implementing FDAAA's registration and reporting requirements. Clinical Trials Registration and Results Information Submission, 81 Fed. Reg. 64,981 (September 21, 2016) (codified at 42 C.F.R. § 11) (the "Final Rule").

43. The Final Rule took effect on January 18, 2017. *Id.*

44. Under the Final Rule, and consistent with Congress's directive in FDAAA, 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(3)(D)(ii)(II), defendants expanded FDAAA's results reporting information to include applicable clinical trials for unapproved products that have a primary completion date on or after January 18, 2017. 42 C.F.R. § 11.42.

45. Defendants also expanded the scope of the results information that responsible parties must submit to include, for example, statistical analyses for each outcome measure and adverse event information (meaning any unfavorable medical incident a patient experienced during the course of the trial). *See* 42 C.F.R. § 11.48. The additional results information required by the FDAAA Final Rule must, in general, be submitted within 1 year after the earlier of (1) the estimated completion date of the trial or (2) the actual date of completion. 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(3)(D)(iv), (E)(i).

46. Under the final rule, NIH must post registration and results information not later than 30 calendar days after the date of submission. 42 C.F.R. §§ 11.35, 11.52.

Defendants' Final Rule Purports to Relieve Responsible Parties for Certain Clinical Trials From Their Reporting Obligations Under FDAAA

47. In contravention of FDAAA's clear text, the Final Rule purports to relieve responsible parties for pre-final rule clinical trials for drugs or devices approved after a trial's completion date from their obligation to report basic results information.

Case 3:18-cv-01181 Document 1 Filed 07/18/18 Page 12 of 28

48. The Final Rule provision laying out responsible parties' reporting obligations is codified at 42 C.F.R. § 11.42.

49. That provision specifies only that responsible parties for applicable clinical trials for which the studied product is not approved, licensed, or cleared by the FDA and for which the primary completion date is *on or after January 18, 2017*, must report basic results information.

42 C.F.R. § 11.42(b).

50. The Final Rule does not require basic results information ever to be reported for applicable clinical trials with a primary completion date *before January 18, 2017*, if the drug or device was not approved until after the primary completion date (hereinafter, "pre-Rule trials for subsequently approved products" or "PRTSAPs"). *See id.*

51. The Final Rule's preamble makes plain that the Final Rule does not require

responsible parties to report basic results information for PRTSAPs:

[W]hether results information submission is required for an applicable clinical trial of an unapproved, unlicensed, or uncleared product depends on whether the primary completion date for that trial falls before or after the effective date of the regulations. If it falls before the effective date, then no results information is required to be submitted for that applicable clinical trial, regardless of whether the product studied in that clinical trial is later approved, licensed, or cleared.

Clinical Trials Registration and Results Information Submission, 81 Fed. Reg. 64,981, 65,120

(Sept. 21, 2016) (codified at 42 C.F.R. § 11.2 et seq.).

51. Defendants' Frequently Asked Question guidance on ClinicalTrials.gov likewise

makes plain that the Final Rule does not require responsible parties for PRTSAPs to report basic

results information:

Am I required to submit results information for my applicable clinical trial (ACT)?

The regulations at 42 CFR 11.42 address those applicable clinical trials for which a responsible party must submit results information.

- . . .
- For ACTs that are required to be registered and with a Primary Completion Date before January 18, 2017:
 - If the ACT studies a drug, biological, or device product that is approved, licensed or cleared as of the Primary Completion Date, then the responsible party is required to submit the results information specified in sections 402(j)(3)(C) and 402(j)(3)(I) of the PHS Act. (42 CFR 11.42(a)(1))
 - If the ACT studies a drug, biological, or device product that is not approved, licensed, or cleared as of the Primary Completion Date, then the responsible party is not required to submit results information. (42 CFR 11.42(b))

Frequently Asked Questions, Clinicaltrials.gov (https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/manage-

recs/faq#fr_6) (last visited June 20, 2018).

52. In communications with plaintiff's staff, employees of defendant agencies have

further confirmed that the Final Rule does not require responsible parties for PRTSAPs to report basic results information.

C. Widespread Noncompliance with FDAAA's Registration and Results Reporting Requirements Prior to Promulgation of the Final Rule.

53. Notwithstanding FDAAA's registration and reporting requirements, including

those that took effect immediately upon the statute's enactment in 2007, there has been, and

remains, widespread noncompliance by responsible parties since the statute took effect.

54. For example, between 2012 and 2014, 32.8% of applicable clinical trials were registered late, and 57% of those trials were registered more than a year late. Deborah A. Zarin et al., *Update on Trial Registration 11 Years After the ICMJE Policy Was Established*, 376 New Eng. J. Med. 383 (2017).

Case 3:18-cv-01181 Document 1 Filed 07/18/18 Page 14 of 28

55. A study of trials that occurred between 2008 and 2013 found that only 13.4% of responsible parties reported their results as required by 42 U.S.C. § 402(j)(3)(C) within a year of finishing the trial. Monique Anderson et al., *Compliance with Results Reporting at ClinicalTrials.gov*, 372 New Eng. J. Med. 1031 (2015).

56. As of 2013, only 37.7% of trial results had ever been reported and posted. Hiroki Saito & Christopher Gill, *How Frequently Do the Results from Completed US Clinical Trials Enter the Public Domain? — A Statistical Analysis of the ClinicalTrials.gov Database*, 9:7 PLOS (2014), https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0101826.

57. Examples of noncompliance abound. On information and belief, results are missing from numerous pivotal trials. Pivotal trials refer to the key trials on which defendants base their regulatory decision to approve a new drug or biologic. Those trials are always completed before a drug or biologic is approved, and they are the most significant evidence of a drug's safety and efficacy. Examples of pivotal trial PRTSAPs for which results should have been reported years ago but for which no results information appears on ClinicalTrials.gov include:

a. **"Oritavancin Versus IV Vancomycin for the Treatment of Patients With Acute Bacterial Skin and Skin Structure Infection (SOLO I)."** See A Multicenter, Double-Blind, Randomized Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Single-Dose IV Oritavancin Versus IV Vancomycin for the Treatment of Patients With Acute Bacterial Skin and Skin Structure Infection (SOLO I), ClinicalTrials.gov, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ results/NCT01252719 (primary completion date October 2012) (last updated November 14, 2012).

b. **"Oritavancin Versus IV Vancomycin for the Treatment of Patients With Acute Bacterial Skin and Skin Structure Infection (SOLO II)."** See A Multicenter, Double-Blind, Randomized Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Single-Dose IV Oritavancin Versus IV Vancomycin for the Treatment of Patients With Acute Bacterial Skin and Skin Structure Infection (SOLO II), ClinicalTrials.gov, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ results/NCT01252732 (primary completion date June 2013) (last updated June 26, 2013).

- c. "Study of TAS-102 in Patients With Metastatic Colorectal Cancer Refractory to Standard Chemotherapies (RECOURSE)." See Randomized, Double-blind, Phase 3 Study of TAS-102 Plus Best Supportive Care (BSC) Versus Placebo Plus BSC in Patients With Metastatic Colorectal Cancer Refractory to Standard Chemotherapies, ClinicalTrials.gov, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/results/ NCT01607957 (primary completion date January 2014) (last updated October 6, 2017).
- 58. Similarly, on information and belief, results information for the following

applicable clinical trials in pediatric populations of approved drugs and biologics should have

been submitted within a year of the trials' primary completion dates—*i.e.* years ago, but no

results information appears in ClinicalTrials.gov:

- a. **"Primary Prevention of Hypertension in Obese Adolescents."** See Primary Prevention of Hypertension in Obese Adolescents, ClinicalTrials.gov, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/ NCT00288158 (primary completion date January 2011) (last updated September 14, 2017).
- b. **"Biomarkers in Autism of Aripiprazole and Risperidone Treatment** (BAART)." *See Biomarkers in Autism of Aripiprazole and Risperidone Treatment*, ClinicalTrials.gov, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/ NCT01333072 (primary completion date August 2015) (last updated March 16, 2016).
- c. "Efficacy and Safety of Decitabine as Epigenetic Priming With Induction Chemotherapy in Pediatric Acute Myelogenous Leukemia (AML) Subjects." See A Randomized, Open Label, Multicenter Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Decitabine as Epigenetic Priming With Induction Chemotherapy in Pediatric Acute Myelogenous Leukemia (AML) Subjects, ClinicalTrials.gov, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/ show/study/NCT01177540 (primary completion date August 2013) (last updated October 22, 2013).

59. On information and belief, two of the pediatric trials for which results have not

been reported are studies required by the FDA to be completed by the manufacturer under the

Case 3:18-cv-01181 Document 1 Filed 07/18/18 Page 16 of 28

Pediatric Research Equity Act, 21 U.S.C. § 355c, which requires manufacturers to conduct studies in pediatric populations for new drug and biologic applications submitted on or after September 27, 2007, regarding the safety and effectiveness of the product in pediatric populations, and appropriate dosing and administration:

- a. **"Safety and Efficacy Study of Ceftaroline Versus a Comparator in Pediatric Subjects With Community Acquired Bacterial Pneumonia (CABP)."** See A Multicenter, Randomized, Observer-Blinded, Active-Controlled Study Evaluating the Safety, Tolerability, Pharmacokinetics, and Efficacy of Ceftaroline Versus Ceftriaxone in Pediatric Subjects With Community-acquired Bacterial Pneumonia Requiring Hospitalization, ClinicalTrials.gov, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/ show/results/NCT01530763 (primary completion date August 2014) (last updated January 13, 2015).
- b. **"Safety and Efficacy Study of Ceftaroline Versus a Comparator in Pediatric Subjects With Complicated Skin Infections."** See A Multicenter, Randomized, Observer-Blinded, Active-Controlled Study to Evaluate the Safety, Tolerability, Efficacy, and Pharmacokinetics of Ceftaroline Versus Comparator in Pediatric Subjects With Acute Bacterial Skin and Skin Structure Infections, ClinicalTrials.gov, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/results/NCT01400867 (primary completion date May 2014) (last updated January 13, 2015).

60. On information and belief, other examples of trials in pediatric populations for

which results information is long overdue include the sixteen trials identified in Exhibit A.

61. Non-compliance is not limited to pivotal and pediatric trials. On information and

belief, results information for the following applicable clinical trials should have been reported

within a year of the studies' primary completion date—*i.e.* years ago—but no results information

has ever been posted on ClinicalTrials.gov:

a. "CRLX101 in Combination With Bevacizumab for Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma (mRCC) Versus Standard of Care (SOC)." See A Randomized, Phase 2 Study to Assess the Safety and Efficacy of CRLX101 in Combination With Bevacizumab in Patients With Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma (RCC) Versus Standard of Care (SOC) (Investigator's Choice), ClinicalTrials.gov, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/results/NCT02187302 (primary completion date July 2016) (last updated April 20, 2017).

- b. **"To Determine The Efficacy and Safety of GDC-0449 in Patients With Basal Cell Nevus Syndrome (BCNS) (GDC-0449)."** See A Randomized, Phase II Multicenter Trial Evaluating the Efficacy and Safety of a Systemic Hedgehog Pathway Antagonist (GDC-0449) in Patients With Basal Cell Nevus Syndrome (BCNS), ClinicalTrials.gov, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/results/NCT00957229 (primary completion date January 2014) (last updated June 6, 2016).
- c. **"Trial Comparing the Effects of Intermittent Vismodegib vs. PDT in Patients With Multiple Basal Cell Carcinomas."** See A Phase II Randomized, Open Label Trial Comparing the Effects of Intermittent Vismodegib Versus PDT on the Maintenance of Benefit Following 7 Months of Continuous Vismodegib Treatment in Patients With Multiple Basal Cell Carcinomas, ClinicalTrials.gov, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/results/NCT01556009 (primary completion date December 2015) (last updated January 14, 2016).
- d. **"Apixaban Versus Warfarin in the Evaluation of Progression of Atherosclerotic Calcification and Vulnerable Plaque."** See Apixaban Versus Warfarin in the Evaluation of Progression of Atherosclerotic Calcification and Vulnerable Plaque, ClinicalTrials.gov, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/results/NCT02090075 (primary completion date December 2016) (last updated May 1, 2017).
- 62. On information and belief, other trials for drugs or biologics approved between

2012 and 2015 for which results information is long overdue include the ten trials identified in

Exhibit B.

D. Widespread Noncompliance with FDAAA's Registration and Results Reporting Requirements After Promulgation of the Final Rule.

63. Many responsible parties continue to miss their reporting deadlines even after the

Final Rule, which expanded responsible parties' reporting obligations.

64. AllTrials, in conjunction with the Evidence-Based Medicine DataLab at the

University of Oxford, has begun publicly documenting noncompliance with FDAAA. FDAAA

Trials Tracker, http://fdaaa.trialstracker.net/ (last visited Apr. 18, 2018).

Case 3:18-cv-01181 Document 1 Filed 07/18/18 Page 18 of 28

65. According to AllTrials, "major trial sponsors completed 25,927 eligible trials and ha[d not] published results for 11,714 of them" between January 2006 and November 2016. *Launch of New TrialsTracker*, AllTrials (Nov. 3, 2016), http://www.alltrials.net/news/

trialstracker/. That amounts to 45.2% of trials. Id.

66. Additionally, AllTrials reports that only 62.4% of applicable clinical trials with completion dates *on or after* the FDAAA Final Rule effective date, January 18, 2017, have publicly reported results on ClinicalTrials.gov. FDAAA Trials Tracker, http://fdaaa.trialstracker.net/ (last visited June 19, 2018). Notably, this number only includes trials that were initially registered on ClinicalTrials.gov. *Id.* If trials that were never registered in the first place were included, the share of clinical trials for which reported information is available would be even smaller.

67. Plaintiffs have identified examples of noncompliant trials that should have reported results information under the FDAAA Final Rule in Exhibit C.

E. Defendants' Knowledge or Constructive Knowledge of Noncompliance

68. Defendants collectively have the ability to identify many, if not all, applicable clinical trials for which results reporting was required under FDAAA before and after the effective date of the FDAAA Final Rule.

69. Congress itself defined "applicable clinical drugs trials" and "applicable clinical device trials" in FDAAA and specified that those applicable clinical trials for approved drugs or devices must submit results to ClinicalTrials.gov. 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(1)(A)(i)-(iii), (3)(C).

70. FDAAA requires applications or submissions to defendant FDA for drug and device approvals to certify whether "all applicable requirements of this subsection [42 U.S.C. § 282(j)] have been met." 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(5)(B).

Case 3:18-cv-01181 Document 1 Filed 07/18/18 Page 19 of 28

71. On January 21, 2009, defendant FDA issued final guidance and a certification form (FDA 3674) implementing the statutory requirement. Final Guidance for Sponsors, Industry, Researchers, Investigators, and Food and Drug Administration Staff: Certifications to Accompany Drug, Biologic Product, and Device Applications/Submissions, 74 Fed. Reg. 3,615 (Jan. 21, 2009). Sponsors of clinical trials must submit this certification form to FDA alongside various applications or submissions that are themselves mandatory, such as an application for a new drug approval. A true and correct copy of Certification Form FDA 3674 (revision Nov. 2008) is attached as Exhibit D.

72. Defendant FDA thus had knowledge even before the FDAAA Final Rule was issued that clinical trials referenced in applications or submissions where the sponsor certified the FDAAA requirements applied were applicable clinical trials.

73. Defendants could also have determined before the FDAAA Final Rule took effect whether other trials not identified by sponsors as covered by the reporting requirements were, in fact, covered. Non-governmental researchers were able to determine whether pre-FDAAA Final Rule trials were applicable clinical trials using only publicly available data. *See, e.g.*, Monique L. Anderson et al., *Compliance with Results Reporting at ClinicalTrials.gov*, 372 New England J. Medicine 1031 (2015); Andrew P. Prayle et al., *Compliance with Mandatory Reporting of Clinical Trial Results on ClinicalTrials.gov: Cross Sectional Study*, 2012 BMJ 344. Given defendants' access to non-public data, they could have identified applicable clinical trials even in cases where the non-governmental researchers' analyses may have misclassified a particular clinical trial.

74. Following promulgation of the FDAAA Final Rule, defendants have knowledge of whether a trial qualifies as an applicable clinical trial that is subject to compliance with

Case 3:18-cv-01181 Document 1 Filed 07/18/18 Page 20 of 28

FDAAA's results reporting requirements. The Final Rule further specifies what constitutes an "applicable clinical trial" and defines with particularity what information must be submitted. *See* 42 C.F.R. § 11.22 (defining "applicable clinical trial"); *id.* § 11.28 (specifying information that must be submitted for clinical trial registration). Since promulgating the FDAAA Final Rule, defendant NIH has updated the data submission fields for ClinicalTrials.gov so that it can easily identify applicable clinical trials. [CITATION]

F. Defendants' Failure to Issue Public Notices of Noncompliance and Provide for Easy Searching of Those Notices

75. Notwithstanding the widespread, ongoing noncompliance with FDAAA's registration and reporting requirements, defendants, on information and belief, have never posted a public notice of noncompliance on ClinicalTrials.gov, as required by 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(5)(E)(i)-(v).

76. There are currently no public notices on ClinicalTrials.gov for any of the clinical trials that are not in compliance with the reporting requirements of FDAAA, as required by 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(5)(E)(i)-(v).

77. Searching the ClinicalTrials.gov database for the language of the required notices of noncompliance specified in 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(5)(E)(i)-(v) returns no results. Exhibits E-G.

78. Site-specific searches limited to ClinicalTrials.gov on Google.com for the language of the required notices of noncompliance specified in 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(5)(E)(iii)-(v) similarly show that no notices are posted on ClinicalTrials.gov. Exhibits H-J.

79. An inspection of the ClinicalTrials.gov entries for the clinical trials identified in paragraphs 45 and 50 above that have failed to submit results information reveals no public notices of noncompliance. *See supra* ¶¶ 45, 50 and accompanying citations.

Case 3:18-cv-01181 Document 1 Filed 07/18/18 Page 21 of 28

80. The ClinicalTrials.gov Advanced Search feature does not contain a search field allowing the public to search the database for entries including the public notices required by 42

U.S.C. § 282(j)(5)(E)(vi). Exhibit K; *ClinicalTrials.gov Advanced Search*,

https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/search/advanced (last visited June 19, 2018).

G. Defendants' Final Rule Purporting to Relieve Responsible Parties for PRTSAPs From Their Obligation to Report Basic Results Information and Failure to Issue Required Public Notices and Provide for Easy Searching of Those Notices Denies Researchers and Patients Access to Critical Information

81. As noted above, Congress expanded the public registry and results databank in

FDAAA "[t]o enhance patient enrollment and provide a mechanism to track subsequent progress of clinical trials," 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(2)(A)(i), and "[t]o provide more complete results information and to enhance patient access to and understanding of the results of clinical trials," *id.* § 282(j)(3)(D)(i).

82. On ClinicalTrials.gov itself, defendant agencies have made clear that FDAAA's registration and reporting requirements are intended to serve the general public, patients, the research community, clinicians, users of medical literature, journal editors, agencies providing grant funding for clinical trials, the research community, institutional review boards,¹ ethicists, and policy makers. *Why Should I Register and Submit Results?*, ClinicalTrials.gov (page last reviewed March 2018), https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/managerecs/background#WhatIsThePurpose.

83. Plaintiff CRIT is entitled to the results information for PRTSAPs, 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(5)(E)(iv); notices of noncompliance, 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(5)(E)(i)-(v); and access to a mechanism to "easily search the registry and results data bank for entries that include notices" of noncompliance, 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(5)(E)(vi).

¹ Institutional review boards (IRBs) ensure that research methods, including clinical trials, comply with appropriate ethical requirements. To be effective, IRBs need to evaluate whether a research protocol is appropriate; full registration and reporting of results on ClinicalTrials.gov can aid that evaluation.

Case 3:18-cv-01181 Document 1 Filed 07/18/18 Page 22 of 28

84. Defendant agencies failure to requires responsible parties for PRTSAPs to report basic results information, *see* 42 C.F.R. § 11.42, denies CRIT information to which it is entitled by law.

85. Defendant agencies failure to make available the notices required by 42 U.S.C. §282(j)(5)(E) denies CRIT information to which it is entitled by law.

86. Defendant agencies' failure to make available a public search function required by 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(5)(E) denies CRIT information to which it is entitled by law by preventing plaintiff from searching for notices of non-compliance, particularly with respect to statutorily required notices of non-compliance for which FDAAA does not mandate specific language.²

87. Together, these actions deprive CRIT researchers, as well as others, of the data necessary to ensure transparency in research, promote better decision-making by clinicians and policymakers, eliminate bias in the medical literature, and to make patients, clinicians and regulators aware of medical product safety and effectiveness.

88. Among other issues, CRIT's researchers study the integrity of the clinical trial research enterprise and issues related to pharmaceutical and medical device regulation, evidence development and dissemination, post-market surveillance, and clinical adoption and publish papers on said topics.

89. Plaintiff CRIT and its researchers have spent and will continue to spend time attempting to identify trials that are out of compliance, the reason why those trials are out of compliance, whether defendant agencies have taken any action to correct the noncompliance, and whether the responsible party corrected the information.

 $^{^{2}}$ For example, NIH must post notices detailing any penalties imposed and whether the responsible party has corrected the information in ClinicalTrials.gov, but FDAAA does not mandate any specific language for these notices. 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(5)(E)(i)(II)–(III).

Case 3:18-cv-01181 Document 1 Filed 07/18/18 Page 23 of 28

90. Without the basic results information for PRTSAPs, CRIT's researchers are unable to characterize the integrity of the clinical trial research enterprise and issues related to pharmaceutical and medical device evidence development and dissemination.

91. Without the statutorily required notices and search function, CRIT's researchers must expend substantial time and resources to attempt to identify non-compliant trials. First, they must conduct a series of advanced searches on ClinicalTrials.gov to identify potentially applicable clinical trials for which results are not reported by, for example, filtering trials by completion date, interventional status, the location of the study, and other relevant variables. Then, CRIT's researchers must analyze each potentially applicable clinical trial one-by-one to determine the probability that the trial is not in compliance by analyzing, among other things, whether the responsible party was granted an extension or submitted results which are not yet posted.

92. Ultimately, without the statutorily required notices, CRIT's researchers are unable to rely on the accuracy of their assessments about trials' compliance with FDAAA's reporting requirements or fully characterize the integrity of the clinical trial research enterprise and issues related to pharmaceutical and medical device evidence development and dissemination.

FIRST CLAIM Violation of APA—Unlawful Statutory Interpretation

93. Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates the allegations in the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

94. The APA, 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A), (C), provides that a reviewing court shall hold unlawful and set aside agency action that is arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, or otherwise not in accordance with law," and that is "in excess of statutory jurisdiction, authority, or limitations, or short of statutory right."

Case 3:18-cv-01181 Document 1 Filed 07/18/18 Page 24 of 28

95. In purporting to relieve certain responsible parties of their statutory obligation under 28 U.S.C. § 282(j)(5)(E)(iv) to report basic clinical trial results for PRTSAPs, the Final Rule is arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, or otherwise not in accordance with law, and is in excess of defendants' statutory jurisdiction, authority, or limitations, within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A) and (C).

96. CRIT and its researchers are directly aggrieved by defendants' final agency action.

SECOND CLAIM

Violation of APA – Agency Action Unlawfully Withheld and/or Unreasonably Delayed

97. Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates the allegations in the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

98. Defendants have a non-discretionary obligation under 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(5)(E)(i)-(v) to issue and post public notices of noncompliance for applicable clinical trials that do not register and report as required by FDAAA and the FDAAA Final Rule.

99. Defendants have a non-discretionary obligation under 42 U.S.C.
§ 282(j)(5)(E)(vi) to create a public search function for notices of noncompliance on ClinicalTrials.gov.

100. Defendants' failure to issue and post public notices of noncompliance for clinical trials, and their failure to create a search function for notices of noncompliance on ClinicalTrials.gov, constitutes agency action unlawfully withheld and/or unreasonably delayed, in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 706(1).

101. Defendants are on notice that responsible parties for certain applicable clinical trials which initiated on or after January 18, 2017, should have reported, but have not reported, results information for those trials.

Case 3:18-cv-01181 Document 1 Filed 07/18/18 Page 25 of 28

102. Defendants have issued no notices of noncompliance, agency enforcement action, or whether responsible parties have corrected the results reporting deficiency.

103. CRIT is injured by defendants' failure to post notices of penalties imposed for responsible parties' failure to comply with FDAAA's reporting requirements mandated by 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(5)(E)(i)(II). Without these notices, CRIT's researchers are unable to ascertain whether a responsible party has properly registered said clinical trial and reported results as required by law; analyze that information to inform CRIT's efforts to characterize the integrity of the clinical research enterprise; and make patients, clinicians, and regulators aware of all research that offers insight into medical product safety and effectiveness.

104. CRIT is injured by defendants' failure to post notices indicating whether a responsible party has corrected any error in its reporting of clinical trial information required by 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(5)(E)(i)(III). Without these notices, CRIT's researchers are unable to rely on this information in their efforts to characterize the integrity of the clinical research enterprise, and to make patients, clinicians, and regulators aware of all research that offers insight into medical product safety and effectiveness.

105. CRIT is injured by defendants' failure to post failure-to-submit notices required by 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(5)(E)(iii). Without these notices, CRIT's researchers are unable to ascertain whether a responsible party has properly reported clinical trial results as required by law; analyze this information in their efforts to characterize the integrity of the clinical research enterprise; and make patients, clinicians, and regulators aware of all research that offers insight into medical product safety and effectiveness.

106. CRIT is injured by defendants' failure to post submission-of-false-information notices required by 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(5)(E)(iv). Without these notices, CRIT's researchers are

Case 3:18-cv-01181 Document 1 Filed 07/18/18 Page 26 of 28

unable to rely on the accuracy of clinical trial information in their efforts to characterize the integrity of the clinical research enterprise, and to make patients, clinicians, and regulators aware of all research that offers insight into medical product safety and effectiveness.

107. CRIT is injured by defendants' failure to post non-submission-of-primary-andsecondary-outcomes notices required by 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(5)(E)(ii), (v). Without these notices, CRIT's researchers are unable to ascertain whether a responsible party has properly reported results as required by law; analyze that information to inform CRIT's efforts to characterize the integrity of the clinical research enterprise; and make patients, clinicians, and regulators aware of all research that offers insight into medical product safety and effectiveness.

108. CRIT is injured by defendants' failure to provide a search field for notices of noncompliance required by 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(5)(E). Without this search capability, CRIT's researchers are unable to ascertain whether a responsible party has properly registered its clinical trial and reported results as required by law; analyze that information to inform CRIT's efforts to characterize the integrity of the clinical research enterprise; and make patients, clinicians, and regulators aware of all research that offers insight into medical product safety and effectiveness.

109. As a result, CRIT, including its researchers, are unable to track the progress of clinical trials, the overall compliance rates with FDAAA's reporting requirements, and defendants' enforcement efforts, or to convey that information to the broader public.

110. Instead, to ascertain even some of the information that would otherwise be provided by FDAAA's required notices, CRIT must expend time and resources attempting to compile the information through other, significantly less efficient means. Even then, CRIT cannot fully reverse engineer the information that would be provided by FDAAA's statutory notices.

Case 3:18-cv-01181 Document 1 Filed 07/18/18 Page 27 of 28

THIRD CLAIM Violation of APA – Agency Action Contrary To Law

111. Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates the allegations in the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

112. Defendants' failure to comply with the Public Health Service Act, 42 U.S.C. §

282(j)(5)(E)—*i.e.*, their failure to issue and post public notices of noncompliance for applicable

clinical trials that do not register and report, and to create a search field for such notices, as

required by FDAAA and the FDAAA Final Rule—is agency action contrary to law in violation

of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A)(C).

113. CRIT and its researchers are directly aggrieved by defendants' failure to create

the search function required by FDAAA as it must expend time and resources searching

ClinicalTrials.gov for individual notices of noncompliance.

RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, plaintiff respectfully requests this Court to:

- A. Declare that defendants' Final Rule violates the Public Health Service Act by purporting to relieve responsible parties for PRTSAPs of their statutory obligation under 28 U.S.C. § 282(j)(5)(E)(iv) to report basic results.
- B. Enjoin defendants to enforce the mandatory obligation to report basic clinical trial results set forth in28 U.S.C. § 282(j)(5)(E)(iv) for PRTSAPs.
- C. Declare that defendants' failure to issue and post on ClinicalTrials.gov public notices of noncompliance for applicable clinical trials that do not register and report as required FDAAA and the FDAAA Final Rule are agency action contrary to law, unlawfully withheld, and unreasonably delayed;
- D. Enjoin defendants to comply with the substantive provisions of FDAAA and the FDAAA Final Rule that require them to issue and post public notices of noncompliance on ClinicalTrials.gov;
- E. Enjoin defendants to comply with the substantive requirements of FDAAA by creating a search function for notices of noncompliance on ClinicalTrials.gov;
- F. Award plaintiff its costs and reasonable attorneys' fees under 28 U.S.C. § 2412; and

Case 3:18-cv-01181 Document 1 Filed 07/18/18 Page 28 of 28

G. Grant such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: July 18, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

MEDIA FREEDOM & INFORMATION ACCESS CLINIC

By: /s/ David Schulz

David Schulz, supervising attorney (Bar Number CT30427) John Langford, supervising attorney (*pro hac vice* application forthcoming) Christine D'Alessandro, law student intern Isabel Farhi, law student intern Abby McCourt, law student intern Adam Pan, law student intern MEDIA FREEDOM & INFORMATION ACCESS CLINIC ABRAMS INSTITUTE Yale Law School P.O. Box 208215 New Haven, CT 06520-8215 Tel: (203) 436-5831 Fax: (203) 432-3034 john.langford@yale.edu

Counsel for Plaintiff